ISO 639-3 Registration Authority

Request for New Language Code Element in ISO 639-3

This form is to be used in conjunction with a “Request for Change to ISO 639-3 Language Code” form

Date: 2007-5-25

Name of Primary Requester: Jamin R. Pelkey

E-mail address: Jamin_Pelkey@sil.org

Names, affiliations and email addresses of additional supporters of this request:

- Associated Change request number : 2007-122
- Tentative assignment of new identifier : ypn

PLEASE NOTE: This completed form will become part of the public record of this change request and the history of the ISO 639-3 code set. Use Shift-Enter to insert a new line in a form field (where allowed).

1. NAMES and IDENTIFICATION

   a) Preferred name of language for code element denotation:
      Phowa, Ani

   b) Autonym (self-name) for this language:
      Phowa; Anipho

   c) Common alternate names and spellings of language, and any established abbreviations:
      Pho, Phula, Laotshipu, Hua Phu (Flowery Phu)

   d) Reason for preferred name:
      Two autonyms are used by the speakers of this language in order to identify themselves with the Phowa macro-group (apparently comprised of three distinct languages speaking gradient, transitional dialects; cf. the [ypw] split application form) and in order to distinguish themselves as a distinct entity within Phowa: Anipho (in which the final morpheme "Pho" simply means 'person' and need not be repeated in the ISO identifier).

   e) Name and approximate population of ethnic group or community who use this language (complete individual language currently in use):
      Ani Phowa: 10,000

   f) Preferred three letter identifier, if available: [ypn]

Your suggestion will be taken into account, but the Registration Authority will determine the identifier to be proposed. The identifiers is not intended to be an abbreviation for a name of the language, but to serve as a device to identify a given language uniquely. With thousands of languages, many sets of which have similar names, it is not possible to provide identifiers that resemble a language name in every case.

2. TEMPORAL DESCRIPTION and LOCATION

   a) Is this a
      - [x] Living language
      - [ ] Nearly extinct/secondary use only (includes languages in revival)
      - [ ] Recently extinct language
      - [ ] Historical language
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Ancient language
Artificially constructed language
Macrolanguage

(Select one. See explanations of these types at [http://www.sil.org/iso639-3/types.asp](http://www.sil.org/iso639-3/types.asp))

For individual languages, also complete:

b) Countries where used:
   China

c) Region within each country: towns, districts, states or provinces where used. Include GPS coordinates of the approximate center of the language, if possible:
   Yunnan Province, primarily in Xibelle District of north central Mengzi County and Yangjie District of southern Kaiyuan County.

d) For an ancient or historical language, give approximate time frame; for a recently extinct language, give the approximate date of the last known user’s death

3. MODALITY AND LINGUISTIC AFFILIATION
   a) This language is: □ Signed    ☒ Spoken    □ Attested only in writings

   b) Language family, if classified; origin, if artificially constructed:
      Sino-Tibetan, Tibeto-Burman, Burmic, Ngwi, Southeastern.

   c) Closest language linguistically. For a Macrolanguage, list the individual languages (adopted and/or proposed) to be included in its group. For signed language, note influence from other signed or spoken languages:
      Labo Phowa

4. LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT AND USE
   a) What written literature, inscriptions or recordings exist in this language? Are there newspapers, radio or television broadcasts, etc.?:
      No body of literature exists in Ani Phowa; the language is unwritten beyond a technical orthography. Preliminary linguistic descriptions of Ani Phowa are published in Pelkey (2004, 2005).

   b) Is this language officially recognized by any level of government? Is it used in any levels of formal education as a language of instruction (for other subjects)? Is it taught in schools?:

c) Comment on factors of ethnolinguistic identity and informal domains of use:
   Spoken by all ages. Home, village, market, and administrative domains. Number of
   speakers appears to be increasing or stable.

**SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

You do not need to repeat sources previously identified in the form, “Request for Change to ISO 639-3
Language Code”

a) First-hand knowledge. Describe:
   Personal onsite research carried out intermittently from 2003-2006 as part of a larger
   linguistic survey of the Phula language varieties spoken in Yunnan Province [described in
   Pelkey forthcoming (2008)].

b) Knowledge through personal communication. Describe:

c) Knowledge from published sources. Include known dictionaries, grammars, etc. (please give
   complete bibliographical references):
   HHYC. 2002. Editorial Committee, eds. Honghe Yizu cidian [An encyclopedic dictionary on
   MZDZ. 1987. Editorial Committee, eds. Mengzi xian diming zhi [The geographic place
   name gazetteer of Mengzi county]. Mengzi: Renmin Zhengfu.
   Dehong: Minzu Chubanshe.
   Beijing: Zhonghua Shuju Chubanshe.
   KYDZ. 1984. Editorial Committee, eds. Kaiyuan shi diming zhi [The geographic place name
   gazetteer of Kaiyuan county]. Kaiyuan: Renmin Zhengfu.
   Kunming: Yunnan Minzu Chubanshe.
   Thesis.
   PELKEY, JAMIN R. 2005. Puzzling over Phula: Toward the synthesis and statement of a
   sub-branch. Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area, 28.2.43-78.
   PELKEY, JAMIN R. Forthcoming (2008). The Phula languages in synchronic and
   diachronic perspective, La Trobe University, PhD dissertation.

Please return this form to:
Further information:

If your request for a new language code element is supported by the Registration Authority as a formal proposal, you may be contacted separately by researchers working with the Ethnologue or with LinguistList asking you to provide additional information.

Sources of documentation for ISO 639-3 identifiers:

