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Ok I found the change request online.

The change of name is a very good idea (you couldn't really make it worse than it currently is!).

However the sound in the first syllable is neither a schwa nor a 'u', but a high central vowel. It is represented by an underlined u in the Utma'in orthography, not by a schwa. The Ethnologue no doubt has its own reasons for preferring u to schwa as well.

In short I can't see any good reason for using schwa rather than U.

Best wishes,

Stuart