ISO 639-3 Registration Authority
Request for Change to ISO 639-3 Language Code

Change Request Number: 2011-144 (completed by Registration authority)

Date: 2011-7-13

Primary Person submitting request: Christine Schreyer

Affiliation: UBC Okanagan

E-mail address: christine dot schreyer at ubc dot ca

Names, affiliations and email addresses of additional supporters of this request:
John Wagner, UBC Okanagan, john dot wagner at ubc dot ca

Postal address for primary contact person for this request (in general, email correspondence will be used):
Community, Culture and Global Studies, Irving K. Barber School of Arts and Sciences, UBC Okanagan, Arts 249, 3333 University Way, Kelowna, BC V4V 1G4 CANADA

PLEASE NOTE: This completed form will become part of the <u>public record</u> of this change request and the history of the ISO 639-3 code set and will be posted on the ISO 639-3 website.

Types of change requests

This form is to be used in requesting changes (whether creation, modification, or deletion) to elements of the *ISO 639 Codes for the representation of names of languages — Part 3: Alpha-3 code for comprehensive coverage of languages*. The types of changes that are possible are to 1) modify the reference information for an existing code element, 2) propose a new macrolanguage or modify a macrolanguage group; 3) retire a code element from use, including merging its scope of denotation into that of another code element, 4) split an existing code element into two or more new language code elements, or 5) create a new code element for a previously unidentified language variety. Fill out section 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 below as appropriate, and the final section documenting the sources of your information. The process by which a change is received, reviewed and adopted is summarized on the final page of this form.

Type of change proposed (check one):

1. ☒ Modify reference information for an existing language code element
2. ☐ Propose a new macrolanguage or modify a macrolanguage group
3. ☐ Retire a language code element from use (duplicate or non-existent)
4. ☐ Expand the denotation of a code element through the merging one or more language code elements into it (retiring the latter group of code elements)
5. ☐ Split a language code element into two or more new code elements (include here a request for a new code element for a divergent dialect of a major language)
6. ☐ Create a code element for a previously unidentified language.

For proposing a change to an existing code element, please identify:

Affected ISO 639-3 identifier: kcl

Associated reference name: Kela

1. Modify an existing language code element

    (a) What are you proposing to change:

        ☒   Language reference name; generally this is changed only if it is erroneous; if usage is shifting to a new preferred form, the new form may be added (next box)

        ☐   Language additional names

        ☐   Language type (living, extinct, historical, etc.)

        ☐   Language scope (individual language or macrolanguage)

    (b) What new value(s) do you propose: Kala

    (c) Rationale for change:
The current name for the language spoken in the villages of Kela, Laugui, Laukanu, Lababia, Buso and Kui was erroneously named Kela, which is the Jabem (another indigenous group from the region) name for the language. Since it is also the village name that appears on government maps this name has been retained in Ethnologue and other publications. However, speakers of the language refer to their language as Kala, which is also the first person plural inclusive pronoun ("yumi" in Tok Pisin).

2. Propose a new macrolanguage or modify a macrolanguage group

    (a) For an existing Macrolanguage, what change to its individual language membership do you propose:

    (b) Rationale for change:

For a new Macrolanguage proposal, please also complete the form "Request for New Language Code Element in ISO 639-3" (file name "ISO639-3_NewCodeRequest.doc" or "ISO639-3_NewCode RequestForm.rtf"), which must also be submitted to fully document the intended meaning for the new macrolanguage.

3. Retire a language code element from use

    (a) Reason for change:

        ☐   There is no evidence that the language exists.

        ☐   This is equivalent to another ISO 639-3 language.

    (b) If equivalent with another code element, with which ISO 639-3 code element (identifier and name) is it equivalent:

    (c) Rationale for change:

4. Expand the denotation of a code element through merging of one or more code elements

    (a) List the languages (identifier and name) to be merged into this code element and retired from use:

(b) Rationale for change

5. Split a language code element into two or more code elements

(a) List the languages into which this code element should be split, or the major language and the divergent variety (or varieties) for which a new code element is being requested:

By the language identification criteria set forth in ISO 639-3, the simple fact of distinct identities is not enough to assign separate identifiers. The criteria are defined in the standard as follows:

For this part of ISO 639, judgments regarding when two varieties are considered to be the same or different languages are based on a number of factors, including linguistic similarity, intelligibility, a common literature (traditional or written), a common writing system, the views of users concerning the relationship between language and identity, and other factors. The following basic criteria are followed:

- Two related varieties are normally considered varieties of the same language if users of each variety have inherent understanding of the other variety (that is, can understand based on knowledge of their own variety without needing to learn the other variety) at a functional level.

- Where intelligibility between varieties is marginal, the existence of a common literature or of a common ethnolinguistic identity with a central variety that both understand can be strong indicators that they should nevertheless be considered varieties of the same language.

- Where there is enough intelligibility between varieties to enable communication, the existence of well-established distinct ethnolinguistic identities can be a strong indicator that they should nevertheless be considered to be different languages

(b) Referring to the criteria given above, give the rationale for splitting the existing code element into two or more languages, or for requesting a separate identifier for the divergent variety:

(c) Does the existing language code element represent a major language in which there already exists a significant body of literature and research? Are there contexts in which all the proposed separate languages may still be considered the same language—as in having a common linguistic identity, a shared (or undistinguished) body of literature, a written form in common, etc.? Please comment.

In order to complete the change request, the form "Request for New Language Code Element in ISO 639-3" (file name "ISO639-3_NewCodeRequestForm.doc" or "ISO639-3_NewCodeRequestForm.rtf") must also be submitted for each new identifier that is to be created. That step can be deferred until this form has been processed by the ISO 639-3 registrar, provided that sufficient information on the rationale is given in (b) above.

In the case of a minority language that has been considered in some contexts to be a dialect of a major language, yet is divergent enough to be unintelligible to speakers of the standard variety of the major language, it may be more beneficial for the users of the ISO 639-3 and 639-2 code sets to create a new code element for the divergent language variety without splitting the existing code

element of the major language. The ISO 639-3 Registration Authority may make this determination when considering a request involving a major language and a highly distinct "dialect." If such a course is followed, the rationale for the decision will be published in a comment by the Registration Authority on approval of the requested addition for the divergent variety.

6. Create a new language code element

(a) Name of missing language:

(b) State the case that this language is not the same as or has not been included within any language that already has an identifier in ISO 639-3:

In order to complete the change request, the form "Request for New Language Code Element in ISO 639-3" (file name "ISO639-3_NewCodeRequest.doc" or "ISO639-3_NewCodeRequestForm.rtf") must also be submitted to more fully document the new language.

Sources of information

Please use whichever of the points below are relevant in order to document the sources on which you have based the above proposal.

(a) First-hand knowledge. Describe:
Villagers in Lababia identified "Kala" as the correct name for their language during a research project conducted in June, 2006, by John Wagner. They also indicated at that time that "Kela" was the Jabem term for their language. The 2006 research project was a pilot study of the status of the Kala language in all six Kala-speaking communities. After an initial series of meetings in all six villages, during which time Dr. Wagner obtained permission from village leaders to conduct language research, representatives from the villages decided they would work together on the project and on any future language projects involving the Kala language. They then formed the Kala Language Committee which continues to operate today and is now composed of three representatives from each village (at least one of whom must be a woman). Dr. Wagner's 2006 research project did not lead to any publications but did inform a conference paper he presented in 2008 to the Association for Social Anthropologists in Oceania (ASAO). This paper is included in the list of publications below. The 2006 research also informed the writing of two successful funding applications and the design of the research project conducted in 2010 by Christine Schreyer, John Wagner, and Chara DeVolder.

During fieldwork conducted in May and June of 2010 with Kala speakers 4 individuals specifically referred to their language as Kala when asked what language they spoke. This section of the interviews was often done in Tok Pisin so many individuals replied in "tok ples", which is the Tok Pisin word for "the language of the village". The 4 individuals were Taŋa Ambewa and Simeon Bomboya from the village of Apoze (also known as Laukanu), Tita Yapet from the village of Kui, and Kika Malatu from the village of Manidala (also known as Kela). It is particularly interesting to note that speakers from Apoze used the term Kala since their pronoun for the first person plural inclusive is "gala", but they recognize themselves as speaking the language of Kala, despite their dialectical differences.

The label Kala was also confirmed during the elicitation interviews when the sentence "they speak Kala" (ol i tokim Kala) was easily translated by 4 participants (from all 6 villages) as Kala. Five individuals used the term "kaŋa bi" (Kisip Napalẽ from Kui, Sidim Dimdi and Gae Aniã from Buso, Simbe Malawaku from Laugui and Mala Mathias from Lababia) which means "our language" instead of the word Kala, showing this is how they see the word "Kala", while another (Taŋa Ambewa from Apoze) used "gaŋa bi" (the Apoze dialect version of "our language".

Research conducted in 2010 also informed the writing of two conference papers, one by Christine Schreyer and the other by John Wagner, both presented in Nov. 2010 at the annual conference of the American Anthropological Association. These are both included in the list of publications below.

(b) Knowledge through personal communication. Describe:
Since 2006 John Wagner has corresponded by mail and email with members of the Kala Language Committee, most notably the Chair of the Committee, Nero Kipusing, and the former Research Coordinator, Chris Awalem (now deceased). The Language Committee used the term Kala in two letters of support for the 2010 research project. When the Kala Language Committee decided to make and distribute t-shirts in 2011 to raise awareness of their work, the term Kala was also included in the t-shirt design. The term Kala is also being used in a dictionary that Christine Schreyer and Chara DeVolder are developing in collaboration with the Language Committee, based on 2010 research. The dictionary is now in draft form and is the subject of regular mail, email and telephone conversations.

(c) Knowledge from published sources (please give complete bibliographical references):
Schreyer, Christine (In Review). Written Authority and Spoken Identity:The relationship between Kala and Jabêm. International Journal for the Sociology of Languages, special edition on Indigenous Languages in Contact, 2011.

Schreyer, Christine (2011). Media, Information Technology, and Language Planning: What can endangered language communities learn from created language communities. Current Issues in Language Planning. To appear 2011.

Schreyer, Christine and John Wagner (In Press). The Kala Vernacular Education and Local Ecological Knowledge Project. Langscape II(8). To appear 2011.

Schreyer, Christine (2011).Sustainability and Language Use: Combining Research and Teaching. Culture 4(2):8.

Wagner, John, Christine Schreyer and Chara DeVolder (In Preparation). 'Silencing Language': Of Anthropologists and Language Endangerment. A paper being prepared for submission to the journal American Anthropologist. Expected completion date August, 2011.

Jacobs, Jody (2010). Putting it in Writing. UBC Reports. 56(10), October 2010. See: http://www.publicaffairs.ubc.ca/2010/10/07/putting-it-in-writing/.

Conference Papers (available on request from authors)

Schreyer, Christine (2010). Community Initiated Development of a Kala Orthography: A Preliminary Analysis. A paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Anthropological Association, Nov/2010, New Orleans, LA.

Wagner, John (2008). Patterns of Language Use Among Kala Speakers of Papua New Guinea. Paper presented at a session entitled: Schooling the Nation(s). Annual conference of the Association for Social Anthropologists in Oceania, Feb/08, Canberra, Australia.

Wagner, John (2010). 'Silencing Language': Of Anthropologists and Language Endangerment. A paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Anthropological Association, Nov/2010, New Orleans, LA.

The change proposal process

A request to change the code set goes through a six-step process:

1. A user of ISO 639-3 proposes a change and submits it to the ISO 639-3 Registration Authority (ISO 639-3/RA) using this form.

2. The ISO 639-3 registrar processes the change request to verify that the request is compatible with the criteria set forth in the standard and to ensure that the submitter has supplied all necessary information. This may involve rounds of interaction with the submitter.

3. When the change request proposal is complete in its documentation (including all associated New Code Requests), the change request is promoted to "Proposed Change" status and the ISO 639-3 registrar posts the request on the official web site of the ISO 639-3/RA. Also at this time, an announcement is sent to anyone requesting notification of new proposals matching their specified criteria (region and/or language family of interest). Periodically, a message maybe sent to the general LINGUIST discussion list on Linguist List (http://linguistlist.org/issues/index.html), and other appropriate discussion lists, inviting individuals to review and comment on pending proposals. Anyone may request from the ISO 639-3 registrar to receive notification regarding proposals involving languages in a specific region of the world or specific language family.

4. Individuals may send comments to the ISO 639-3 registrar for compilation. The consensus of early reviews may result in promotion to "Candidate Status" (with or without amendment), or withdrawal of the change request, if the conclusion is that the request is not in keeping with the stated criteria of the ISO 639-3 standard.

5. Three months prior to the end of the annual cycle of review and update, a new notice is posted on the official web site of the ISO 639-3/RA, and an announcement listing the Candidate Status Change Requests is posted to the LINGUIST discussion list and other discussion lists, as requested by their owners. All change requests are then open to further review and comment by any interested party for a period of three months. A Change Request received after the start of Candidacy phase must wait

until the next annual cycle for consideration. The purpose of this phase is to ensure that a minimum of three months is allotted for the review of every proposal.

6. At the end of the formal review period, a given Change Request may be: 1) adopted as a whole; 2) adopted in part (specific changes implicit in the whole Change Request may be adopted separately); 3) rejected as a whole; or 4) amended and resubmitted for the next review cycle. All change requests remain permanently archived at the official web site of the ISO 639-3/RA.

Please return this form to:
ISO 639-3 Registrar
SIL International, Office of Language Information Systems
7500 West Camp Wisdom Road
Dallas, Texas 75236 USA
ISO 639-3/RA web site: http://www.sil.org/iso639-3/
E-mail: iso639-3@sil.org

An email attachment of this completed form is preferred.

Sources of documentation for ISO 639-3 identifiers:

Gordon, Raymond G., Jr. (ed.), 2005. Ethnologue: Languages of the World, Fifteenth edition. Dallas, Tex.: SIL International. Online version: http://www.ethnologue.com/ .

Linguist List. Ancient and Extinct Languages. http://linguistlist.org/forms/langs/GetListOfAncientLgs.html

Linguist List. Constructed Languages. http://linguistlist.org/forms/langs/GetListOfConstructedLgs.html