ISO 639-3 Registration Authority

Request for New Language Code Element in ISO 639-3

This form is to be used in conjunction with a “Request for Change to ISO 639-3 Language Code” form

Date: 2014-1-19

Name of Primary Requester: Carey McLaughlin

E-mail address: carey underscore mclaughlin at sil dot org

Names, affiliations and email addresses of additional supporters of this request:
Robert McLaughlin, SIL International East Asia Group; robert underscore mclaughlin at sil dot org
Diana Cohen, SIL International East Asia Group; diana underscore cohen at sil.org

Associated Change request number : 2011-161 (completed by Registration Authority)
Tentative assignment of new identifier : puh (completed by Registration Authority)

PLEASE NOTE: This completed form will become part of the public record of this change request and the history of the ISO 639-3 code set. Use Shift-Enter to insert a new line in a form field (where allowed).

1. NAMES and IDENTIFICATION

a) Preferred name of language for code element denotation:
Black Hmong

b) Autonym (self-name) for this language:
Hmong Buak, Hmong Dlob

c) Common alternate names and spellings of language, and any established abbreviations:
Hmoob Dlub, Black Mong, Flowery Hmong, Hua Miao, Hei Miao, Hmong Puas, Moob Puam, Hmoob Puas

d) Reason for preferred name:
The name Flowery Hmong or Hua Miao refers to multiple related but not mutually intelligible varieties. Because there are multiple ethnonyms for this group, a term which applies to all the people is preferred over choosing one of the ethnonyms. The name Black Hmong has been used in scholarly literature to refer to this linguistic group (Michael Johnson.1998. "Farwestern Hmongic." Unpublished manuscript.) For the sake of consistency, this name was also agreed upon by members of multiple organizations working with Hmong in China and Southeast Asia.

e) Name and approximate population of ethnic group or community who use this language:
Hmong Buak, Hmong Dlob; population estimated at 70,000 in Johnson 1998

f) Preferred three letter identifier, if available: pua

Your suggestion will be taken into account, but the Registration Authority will determine the identifier to be proposed. The identifiers is not intended to be an abbreviation for a name of the language, but to serve as a device to identify a given language uniquely. With thousands of languages, many sets of which have similar names, it is not possible to provide identifiers that resemble a language name in every case.
2. TEMPORAL DESCRIPTION and LOCATION

a) Is this a 
   - Living language
   - Nearly extinct/secondary use only (includes languages in revival)
   - Recently extinct language
   - Historical language
   - Ancient language
   - Artificially constructed language
   - Macrolanguage

(Select one. See explanations of these types at http://www.sil.org/iso639-3/types.asp)

b) Countries where used:
   - P. R. China; Vietnam

c) Region within each country: towns, districts, states or provinces where used. Include GPS coordinates of the approximate center of the language, if possible:
   - southeast Yunnan Province in China; northwest Vietnam

d) For an ancient or historical language, give approximate time frame; for a recently extinct language, give the approximate date of the last known user’s death

3. MODALITY AND LINGUISTIC AFFILIATION

a) This language is: 
   - Signed
   - Spoken
   - Attested only in writings

b) Language family, if classified; origin, if artificially constructed:
   - Hmong-Mien, Hmongic, Chuanqiandian

c) Closest language linguistically. For signed language, note influence from other signed or spoken languages:
   - 1. Northern Hmong
   - 2. Dananshan Hmong

4. LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT AND USE

a) What written literature, inscriptions or recordings exist in this language? Are there newspapers, radio or television broadcasts, etc.?:
   - none
b) Is this language officially recognized by any level of government? Is it used in any levels of formal education as a language of instruction (for other subjects)? Is it taught in schools?:
no

c) Comment on factors of ethnolinguistic identity and informal domains of use:
Estimate of ethnolinguistic vitality: 6a "Vigorous" for Hmong within China where very few speakers are using the written form of the language despite sporadic, short-term literacy classes offered by the language commission or schools. However the language is used orally by all generations and the situation is sustainable.

Ethnolinguistic identity: Black Hmong identify themselves as part of the "Miao" nationality of China and part of the worldwide "Hmong" language group. However, they do acknowledge variety among the kinds of Miao/Hmong spoken languages, recognizing that there are some Hmong groups whom they understand well and others whom they cannot understand at all.
5. SOURCES OF INFORMATION

You do not need to repeat sources previously identified in the form, “Request for Change to ISO 639-3 Language Code”

a) First-hand knowledge. Describe:
SIL researchers have conducted field language research (survey work) including intelligibility testing among this and related language varieties.

Speakers' Self-Evaluation
Regarding Black Hmong speakers' own recognition of their varying comprehension levels of other Hmong/Miao languages, see Andy Castro and Royce Flaming's 16 October 2009 "Honghe RTT Respondents' Individual Responses" and 4 September 2009 "Wenshan RTT Respondents' Individual Responses". For example, Hmong Dlob respondent #6 at Y19 replied that she understands Hmong Dlob, Hmong Buak and Hmong Lens speakers but does not understand some Hmong Dleub speakers.

Inherent Intelligibility
Regarding inherent intelligibility between Black Hmong and other varieties of Hmong, see Andy Castro and Royce Flaming, 4 September 2009, "Wenshan RTT Results" and 16 October 2009, "Honghe RTT Results" for the following data:
*Thailand Hmong Nzhuab texts tested on Hmong Buak in 2 Wenshan locations: average scores of 77%, 73%, 88% and 83% on 2 stories
*Dananshan Hmong texts tested on Hmong Buak in 2 Wenshan locations: average scores of 81%, 78%, 77%; tested on Hmong Dlob in 1 Honghe location: average score of 82%
*White Hmong text tested on Hmong Buak in 1 Wenshan location: average score of 82%
*Southern Hmong (Hmong Shib) text tested on Hmong Dlob in 1 Honghe location: average score of 100% which may reflect acquired intelligibility due to frequent contact with Hmong Lens in Jinping County (Andy Castro and Royce Flaming, 16 October 2009 "Honghe RTT Respondents' Individual Responses"). In general, the high rate of comprehension of Hmong Shib by Black Hmong and Northern Hmong is most likely due to acquired intelligibility through language contact (intermarriage, trade, DVD movies and radio broadcasts), not inherent intelligibility.
*Northern Hmong texts were not tested on Black Hmong speakers during the survey

Lexical Similarity
Regarding lexical similarity between Black Hmong and other varieties of Hmong, see Andy Castro's Table of Lexical Similarity, 9 February 2010, "Yunnan Hmong Dialect Survey Powerpoint Presentation," data as follows:
*Within Black Hmong, Hmong Buak and Hmong Dlob show high percentages of lexical similarity, ranging from 91.4 to 96.3 in different locations
*Black Hmong varieties show fairly high lexical similarity with Northern Hmong lects (Hmong Soud, Ndrous and Bes) with percentages ranging from 88.4 to 94.1.
*Black Hmong varieties show fairly high lexical similarity with Southern Hmong lects (Hmong Shib, Lens and Nzhuab) with percentages ranging from 86.4 to 92.6.
*Black Hmong varieties show intermediate lexical similarity with White Hmong, with percentages ranging from 80.9 to 91.4 in different locations.
*Lexical similarity of Black Hmong was not calculated in comparison to Dananshan Hmong or Green Hmong. Compare wordlists in "2008-2009 Yunnan and Guizhou Hmong Survey Wordlist Samples" abridged from 20 January 2010 Andy Castro and Royce Flaming,
Wordlists with GT analysis, "Master list."
*With regard to high lexical similarity among Hmong Buak, Hmong Dlob and Hmong Dlex Nchab, Castro et al write: "The positioning of Dlex Nchab is somewhat problematic. Our lexical similarity calculation indicates that it is slightly closer to Northern Hua Miao [Black Hmong and Northern Hmong] than Southern Hua Miao. However, its tonal features are identical with Nzhuab and very similar to Lens and Shib, suggesting it should be grouped with Southern Hua Miao." Andy Castro, Royce Flaming & Luo Youliang. 2012 (accepted). A phonological and lexical comparison of Western Miao dialects in Honghe. SIL Electronic Survey Reports, p.32.

b) Knowledge through personal communication. Describe:

c) Knowledge from published sources. Include known dictionaries, grammars, etc. (please give complete bibliographical references):

 Castro, Andy, Royce Flaming & Luo Youliang. 2012 (accepted). A phonological and lexical comparison of Western Miao dialects in Honghe. SIL Electronic Survey Reports.


Please return this form to:
ISO 639-3 Registrar
SIL International, Office of Language Information Systems
7500 West Camp Wisdom Road
Dallas, Texas 75236 USA
Email: iso639-3@sil.org
An email attachment of this completed form is preferred.

Further information:
If your request for a new language code element is supported by the Registration Authority as a formal proposal, you may be contacted separately by researchers working with the Ethnologue or with LinguistList asking you to provide additional information.
Sources of documentation for ISO 639-3 identifiers: